and the figures of the instant application to see the significant differences in the disclosures. Further, patent claim 25, for example, recites the combination of an IC memory, an address generator, an addressing circuit, and a scanout circuit while application claim 21 recites the combination of a database memory, an image memory, a processor, a plurality of memories, an input circuit, and first and second output circuits. These are two different disclosures and two different sets of claims.

1.2 <u>SUPPLEMENTAL</u> <u>DECLARATION</u>

Transmitted herewith is a Supplemental Declaration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.67. The filing of this Supplemental Declaration should not be interpreted as a representation that any one or more of the conditions listed in 37 CFR 1.67 has in fact occurred.

1.3 COMMENTS ON AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

The amendments to the claims proposed herein have not been required by the PTO and are unrelated to the patentability of the invention recited in the claims of the instant application.

Director Godici met with the Applicant on October 24, 1995. The Applicant was asked to file supplemental preliminary amendments that better focused the claims on a different invention in each application in order to simplify examination and the Applicant agreed.

In accordance with the above, the Applicant herein proposes amendments to the claims to better focus the claims.

1.4 <u>COMMENTS ON AMENDMENTS TO THE SPECIFICATION</u>

Exhibit B attached hereto provides a table of contents having specification page numbers to assist the Examiner in locating antecedent basis for the claims. Further, the Applicant is available to assist the Examiner to locate antecedent basis for the claims and the Applicant will respond promply to any such request.